

JS-6

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 DEBRA GOLDSTEIN, individually  
12 and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION,

16 Defendant.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 2:23-cv-04752-JWH-JDE

**JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to the “Order Granting Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss [ECF No. 73 in the Hedrick Case; ECF No. 68 in the Goldstein Case; and ECF No. 70 in the Norris Case]” entered substantially contemporaneously herewith, and in accordance with Rules 12(b)(6) and 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

1. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over the above-captioned action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

2. The operative complaint in this action is the Second Amended Class Action Complaint [ECF No. 65].


3. The claims for injunctive relief of Plaintiff Debra Goldstein are **DISMISED** for lack of jurisdiction.

4. Judgment is hereby **ENTERED in FAVOR** of Defendant Whirlpool Corporation and **AGAINST** Plaintiff Debra Goldstein. Plaintiff shall take nothing by way of her Second Amended Complaint. This action is **DISMISSED**.

5. To the extent that any party requests any other form of relief, such request is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 28, 2025

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John W. Holcomb  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE